



Registered with the Registrar
of Newspapers for India
under No. 10410

புதுச்சேரி மாநில அரசிதழ்

La Gazette de L'État de Poudouchéry The Gazette of Puducherry

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற வெளியீடு

Publiée par Autorité

Published by Authority

எண்	புதுச்சேரி	செவ்வாய்க்கிழமை	2024 ல்	ஏப்ரல் 16	16
No.	16 Poudouchéry	Mardi	16	Avril	2024 (27 Chaitra 1946)
No.	Puducherry	Tuesday	16th	April	2024

பொருளடக்கம்

SOMMAIRES

CONTENTS

	பக்கம்		Page		Page
தொழில் நீதிமன்றத் தீர்ப்புகள் ..	298	Sentence arbitral du Travail de Tribunal ..	298	Award of the Labour Court ..	298
அரசு அறிவிக்கைகள் ..	303	Notifications du Gouvernement ..	303	Government Notifications ..	303
சாற்றறிக்கைகள் ..	309	Annonces ..	309	Announcements ..	309

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 31/AIL/Lab./T/2024,
Puducherry, dated 12th March 2024)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, an Award in I.D. (L) No. 28/2015, dated 20-12-2023 of the Labour Court, Puducherry, in respect of the dispute between the management of M/s. Poorvika Mobile World Limited, Puducherry and its workman Thiru N. Narayanasamy over non-employment and disablement compensation has been received;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), read with the Notification issued in Labour Department's G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L, dated 23-05-1991, it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said Award shall be published in the Official Gazette, Puducherry.

(By order)

S. SANDIRAKUMARAN,
Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

**BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Tmt. G.T. AMBIKA, M.L., PGDCLCF.,
Presiding Officer.

Wednesday, the 20th day of December, 2023

I.D. (L) No. 28/2015
CNR. No. PYPY06-000075-2015

Narayanasamy,
S/o. Nagappan,
No.10, Murugal Koil 2nd Street,
Pudhu Nagar,
Katterikuppam and Post,
Mannadipet Commune,
Puducherry. . . Petitioner

Versus

The Managing Director,
M/s. Poorvika Mobile World Limited,
No. 181, Anna Salai,
Puducherry. . . Respondent

This Industrial Dispute coming on 15-11-2023 before me for final hearing in the presence of Thiruvallargal L. Rajendiran and R.T. Shankar, Counsel

for the Petitioner and Thiruvallargal R. Rajaprakash and V. Veeraragavan, Counsel for the Respondent, upon hearing both sides, upon perusing the case records, after having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court passed the following:

AWARD

This Industrial Dispute arises out of the reference made by the Government of Puducherry, *vide* G.O. Rt. No. 52/AIL/Lab./J/2015, dated 18-06-2015 of the Labour Department, Puducherry to resolve the following dispute between the Petitioner and the Respondent, *viz.*

(a) Whether the dispute raised by the Petitioner N. Narayanasamy against the Management of M/s. Poorvika Mobile World, Puducherry over his non-employment is justified? If justified, what relief petitioner is entitled to?

(b) Whether the claim of the petitioner for the reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by him for his treatment at PIMS, since he met with an accident during the course of employment and his claim of disablement compensation as per Act is justified? If justified, what relief the petitioner is entitled to?

(c) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed?

2. *The averments set forth in the claim petition is as follows:*

(i) The petitioner was working from 24-07-2010 under the respondent management, *i.e.*, Poorvika Mobiles World Limited, at No. 181, Anna Salai, Puducherry. As per the instructions of the respondent management to have advertisement work at marakanam he along with another employee attended the company work at marakanam and after completing the work, while returning to Puducherry at Annumanthai he met with an road traffic accident during the course of employment on 16-06-2013 at about 2.00 p.m. and sustained serious spinal injury.

(ii) The petitioner family contacted the respondent/management and they assured to extend medical benefits and to provide employment to the petitioner and also for reimbursement of the medical expenses as there was no insurance coverage under E.S.I. Act and the petitioner was admitted at PIMS hospital for treatment. The petitioner undergone surgery as inpatient for more than 15 days and after surgery he was bedridden and took treatment as outpatient for nearly four months.

(iii) The petitioner approached the management to provide employment after the medical checkup and as the Doctor certified him fit to perform his duty. The management did not allow him to enter the mobile shop and also refused to provide employment and to refund the medical expenses. The accident occurred only during office hours and the management registered in E.S.I. only in the month of February 2014 and only in the month of February the petitioner submitted his family photo and the E.S.I. temporary identity certificate provided only on 21-03-2014.

(iv) As there was no ESI coverage at the time of accident, the petitioner had no right to claim benefit under ESI Act for medical treatment. The petitioner sustained injury only at the time of advertisement work at Marakanam. Therefore, the petitioner requested to provide employment with all legal benefits and also provide medical expenses incurred by his family members at PIMS hospital and also disabled compensation as applicable under the Act.

(v) The management even though assured to provide the employment during hospitalization of the petitioner, but, refused to provide employment when he approached for employment and compensation. Therefore, he raised an Industrial Disputes before the Labour Officer conciliation, Puducherry *vide* representation, dated 13-03-2014 and 11-07-2014. During the course of conciliation, there is no representation from the management of Poorvika Mobiles Limited, at Puducherry. As there is no cooperation on the part of the management, the conciliation proceedings ended in failure and referred the matter to this Court.

3. *The averments set forth in the counter is as follows:*

(i) The present Industrial Dispute as well as the claim petition filed by petitioner is not maintainable under Law. From the bare perusal of claim petition, it is alleged that the respondent has not paid the ESI contribution, therefore, the petitioner was not benefitted under ESI Act. If, such the actual grievance of petitioner, he ought to have approached the ESI Corporation, Puducherry, for the alleged non-payment of contribution by respondent. On the other hand, the petitioner is also claiming compensation and disablement compensation for the alleged employment injuries sustained by him during the course of employment. If, such is the actual facts and grievance, the petitioner ought to have approached the authority appointed under ESI Act. Therefore, the present ID is not maintainable and further, this Court may conduct the preliminary enquiry on the above contentions before conducting the full trial in the above Industrial Dispute.

(ii) The petitioner approached the ESI corporation with a claim of refund of expenditures, who in turn refused to entertain such claim citing that he has not approached the ESI Hospital at first instance, but, has taken complete treatment at PIMS Hospital without getting approval from ESIC. The petitioner is not in a position to file any claim petition under Motor Vehicles Act as there is no FIR. Petitioner devised a plan and opted to file such frivolous petition against the respondent.

(iii) The petitioner is employed by respondent as sales executive and it is true that he was assigned an advertisement work at Marakanam on 16-06-2013 along with two other employees namely, Thiruvallargal R. Thulasiraman and P. Vasanthakumar with a clear and categorical instruction to go by bus to Marakanam, but, the petitioner breached the very condition imposed and went in two wheeler that too in triples without wearing helmet and therefore, the petitioner himself is liable for injuries sustained to him and hence, the respondent is not liable to pay any compensation.

(iv) The petitioner is covered under the ESI Act. The ESI number was provided to him as early as from 27-04-2011, which is mentioned even in his monthly salary slip. The truth of the matter is immediately after the accident the petitioner was taken to PIMS hospital. The other two employees intimated the respondent about the accident and the Branch Manager visited the petitioner at PIMS Hospital and given a sum of ₹ 10,000 towards advance. The respondent is not only paying ESI contribution to its employees but also taking private insurance with New India Assurance Co. Limited. The petitioner submitted a claim of ₹ 15,588 to the respondent, who in turn processed his claim form with its insurance company and settled a sum of ₹ 8,391 from the insurance and the respondent settled ₹ 6,265 to the petitioner. But, with ulterior motives to extract and extort money from respondent, the petitioner now filed the present petition.

(v) The petitioner informed the respondent that he could not immediately report to duty and he requested the respondent to grant leave which was accepted and paid monthly salary to petitioner till December, 2013. The petitioner approached the respondent with a request of employment who in turn employed the petitioner on the same role which was continued for 5 days by petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner himself has claimed that he could not stand for long hours therefore, he is given up his employment with respondent. Hence, it is very clear that the respondent has not terminated the petitioner,

but, it was the petitioner who informed the respondent that he is moving from the respondent because of the reason that he could not stand for long. The respondent is still willing to provide employment to petitioner by application of principle of "No Work No Pay". The petitioner is now working in a private company at NRS mobile, Villianur as Lava Promoters. Hence, the claim of petitioner is liable to be dismissed.

4. *Points for determination:*

1. Whether the accident has occurred during the course of employment and the injury sustained by the petitioner is an employment injury?

2. Whether the petitioner is entitled for reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by the petitioner?

3. Whether the petitioner is entitled for disablement compensation as claimed by the petitioner?

4. Whether the petitioner is entitled for the relief of reinstatement with full back wages, continuity of service and all other attendant benefits?

5. Whether the dispute raised by the Petitioner over his non-employment is justified or not?

6. To what other relief the Petitioner is entitled to?

5. On the side of Petitioner, the Petitioner himself was examined as P.W.1 and Exs.P1 to P10 was marked. On the respondent side Mr. L. Ezhilarasan Branch Manager of Respondent Management was examined as R.W.1 and through him Exs.R1 to R8 were marked. Mr. Dr. G. Parabakaran was examined as RW2 and Ex.C1 was marked through him.

6. *On points 1 to 6:*

The contention of the petitioner is that when the petitioner was working in the respondent shop, the respondent management had instructed the petitioner to do advertisement work on 16-6-2013 in a place called Marakanam along with two other employees and thereby, the petitioner went to Marakanam along with two employees and after completion of advertisement work when the petitioner was returning to Puducherry, the petitioner had met with road accident and thereby sustained serious spinal injury and the said accident had taken place during the course of employment and when the family members of the petitioner had immediately contacted the respondent management it was assured by the respondent to extend medical benefits to the petitioner and to reimburse the medical expenses and provide employment to the petitioner. Further, the contention of the petitioner is that the father of the

petitioner had admitted the petitioner as inpatient in the PIMS Hospital as there was no insurance coverage under ESI Act and had spent several lakhs amount for the treatment of the petitioner and later when the petitioner recovered from the accidental injuries and approached the respondent for work the respondent has refused to provide job to the petitioner and also refused to provide medical expense and further, the respondent management got registered with ESI only in the month of February, 2014 and provided ESI temporary Identity Card to the petitioner on 21-03-2014 and therefore, the respondent is liable to pay medical expense and compensation and employment to the petitioner as the respondent management had not paid the ESI subscription properly and there was no ESI coverage during the time of accident.

7. Whereas, the contention of the respondent is that it is true that the respondent had assigned an advertisement work at Marakanam on 16-06-2013 to the petitioner and other two of its employees namely, Thiruvallargal R. Thulasiraman and P. Vasanthakumar with clear instructions to go by bus, but, the petitioner had breached the said conditions and traveled in a two wheeler with the said two employees without wearing helmet and thereby the petitioner himself is liable for the injuries sustained by him and hence, the respondent is not liable to pay any compensation to the petitioner. The further contention of the respondent is that the petitioner is covered under ESI Act because the respondent is not only paying ESI subscription, but, also has taken private insurance to its employees and thereby the petitioner ought to have taken treatment at ESI hospital, but, has taken treatment at PIMS Hospital at his own risk for which the respondent is not liable to pay any medical expenses and further the respondent has paid an advance amount of ₹ 10,000 at PIMS Hospital and that apart when the petitioner has raised claim for ₹ 15,588 the insurance company has settled a sum of ₹ 8,391 and the respondent had paid ₹ 6,265 directly to the petitioner. It is the further contention of the respondent that as the petitioner could not immediately report to the duty the respondent on humanitarian ground has paid monthly salary to the petitioner till December, 2013 and later when, the petitioner approached the respondent for work the respondent had provided work to the petitioner but it was the petitioner who himself has left the job stating that he could not stand in the shop for hours, but, however still the respondent is willing to provide employment to the petitioner on the principle of "No Work No Pay".

8. In this case, the respondent admits that the respondent management has instructed the petitioner to do advertisement work at Marakanam on 16-06-2013

along with its two employees, but, contends that it was the specific instruction of the respondent to the petitioner that the petitioner had to commute by bus, but, the petitioner violated the said instruction and had travelled in a two wheeler along with the two other employees without wearing helmet and thereby, it cannot be said that the petitioner has sustained employment injury. When, it is the specific contention of the respondent that the respondent had instructed the petitioner and two of its employees to commute by bus then it is for the respondent to prove the same. The respondent in this case has not examined either the other two employees who traveled with the petitioner or the concerned official who gave instruction to the petitioner. That apart the R.W.1 during his cross-examination has deposed that the respondent had conducted enquiry as to why the other two employees namely, Thiruvargal R. Thulasiraman and P. Vasanthakumar had travelled with the petitioner in a two wheeler and further, deposed that the enquiry was not conducted by issuing written notice regarding the same.

9. This Court finds that had it been true that the petitioner and other two employees were directed to commute by bus to Marakanam and the employees have breached such condition then the respondent at the earliest point of time ought to have initiated enquiry or call for explanation from the other two employees for such conduct, but, in this case no such documents has been produced to substantiate that the respondent has taken action against the employees for alleged breach of instructions. Therefore, in the said context this Court finds that the contention of the respondent that the respondent had instructed the petitioner and other two employees to commute for advertisement work at Marakanam by bus is found to be an after thought and evasive one and stands unproved.

10. As the accident has occurred during the course of employment and the injuries sustained by the petitioner is also out of and in the course of his employment this Court holds that the injury sustained by the petitioner is employment injury. Now, the next question that arises for consideration is whether the petitioner ought to have taken treatment at ESI hospital and not in a private hospital. The contention of the respondent is that the respondent had been paying ESI contribution and therefore, the petitioner ought to have taken treatment at ESI Hospital. Whereas, the contention of the petitioner is that the respondent has not paid the ESI contribution regularly and further, only in the month February, 2014 the respondent has registered with ESI Corporation and thereafter has issued ESI temporary Identity Certificate only on 21-03-2014.

11. The petitioner to substantiate the same has produced Ex.P5 ESI temporary Identity Certificate and on perusal of the same it is found that it is dated 31-03-2014. When, it is the contention of the respondent that as stated in Ex.P5 the date of registration of respondent concern with ESI Corporation was on 27-04-2011 and thereafter onwards the ESI contribution was regularly paid to ESI Corporation and as on date of accident there was the ESI benefits coverage then it is for the respondent to substantiate the same. The respondent during the cross-examination of P.W.1 has suggested that in the salary receipt issued by the respondent the ESI number details are available, the P.W.1 by way of reply has deposed that the P.W.1 was not given any salary receipt at any point of time and he was given only Ex.P5 ESI temporary Identity Certificate after completion of all treatments.

12. Thus, from the evidence of P.W.1 and Ex.P5 ESI temporary Identity Certificate it could be inferred that the case of the petitioner is that at the time of accident he was not issued any ESI Identity Card and there was no any ESI benefit coverage and further, the respondent has not issued any salary receipt with ESI details to the petitioner at any point of time. Whereas, the contention of the respondent by way of cross-examination of P.W.1 is that in the salary receipt issued to the petitioner the ESI details will be available. The respondent to substantiate that in the salary slip issued by the respondent the ESI details are available has produced Exs.R2 to R7. On perusal of Exs.R2 to R7 it is found that they are Salary receipt for the Month of May 2013 to August 2013 and, November and December 2013 and further, in the said salary receipt the ESI number is also mentioned.

13. However, this Court finds that R.W.1 during his cross-examination has deposed that the respondent while disbursing salary used to affix stamp and obtain the signature of the concerned employees in the Salary receipt but on perusal of Exs.R2 to R7 it is found that in the salary receipt there is either such stamps have been affixed or signature of the petitioner is available. The R.W1 during his cross-examination has admitted the same and has deposed that Exs.R2 to R7 were downloaded documents. This Court finds that when it is the specific contention of the respondent that the respondent used to affix stamps and obtain signature of its employees in the salary receipt then in such case the same ought to have been produced before this Court, but, the respondent on other hand has produced salary receipts which are stated to be downloaded one and thereby this Court concludes that the genuineness of Exs.R2 to R7 are doubtful and cannot be relied by this Court.

14. Therefore, this Court holds that the respondent has failed to prove that ESI contribution was regularly paid by the respondent and further, during the period of accident ESI benefits coverage was available to the petitioner. Hence, in the said context, this Court holds that the respondent cannot contend that the petitioner ought to have taken treatment at ESI Hospital and not at PIMS Hospital. Hence, as the injury sustained by the petitioner was of employment injury this Court holds that the respondent is liable to pay the medical expense and loss of income to the petitioner. The petitioner has produced Ex.P8 bills issued by PIMS. On perusal of Ex.P8 it is found that the total medical bills amount comes to ₹ 22,926. The R.W.1 during his re-examination has deposed that apart from ₹ 10,000 the respondent has reimbursed 80% of Medical bills to the petitioner through Medi Assist Company. This Court on perusal of evidence of R.W.1 finds that the petitioner has not disputed the same by way of further examination of R.W.1. Further, more the petitioner has produced Ex.P10 letter issued by PIMS Hospital to Medi Assist India certifying that at the time of accident the petitioner was not under the influence of Alcohol and to do the needful. Thus, from the evidence of P.W.1 and R.W.1 and Ex.P10 it could be inferred that the medical claim of petitioner is found to have been settled through Medi Assist India. Therefore, out of medical bills amount of ₹ 22,926 the respondent is found to have settled 80% of bill amount that is ₹ 18,340 and hence, the respondent is liable to pay balance medical bills amount of ₹ 4,586 to the petitioner.

15. As per Ex.P3 Discharge Summary, there is no any finding that the petitioner when brought to hospital was under the influence of alcohol and further, in Ex.P10 it is clearly stated by the PIMS Hospital that the petitioner was not under the influence of alcohol at the time of admission. Therefore, the contention of the respondent that at the time of accident the petitioner was under the influence of alcohol is found to be an after thought defence taken by the respondent. Similarly, the contention of the respondent that the petitioner had travelled in the two wheeler in triples and without wearing helmet also stands unproved by the respondent.

16. The yet another contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for loss of income from the respondent. As per the evidence of P.W.1 during cross-examination it is deposed that the respondent has paid 50% of salary to the petitioner till December, 2013. However, it is found that the petitioner has neither deposed the quantum of monthly salary nor produced any document to substantiate his monthly salary. Therefore, in the said context this Court is unable to compute and conclude any loss of income for the period from July, 2013 to December, 2013. As per Ex.C1 the

percentage of disability is shown as 10%. Therefore, this Court finds that it would be appropriate to direct the respondent to pay a consolidated amount of ₹ 50,000 towards disability.

17. It is the case of petitioner that when he approached the respondent in the month of February, 2014, the respondent refused to give employment to the petitioner and whereas, it is the contention of the respondent that it was the petitioner who had abandoned the work stating that he was unable to stand in the shop for hours and further, the respondent is willing to provide employment to the petitioner at any time on the basis of "No work No pay" but, the respondent has not produced any document to substantiate the same. The R.W.1 also admitted that the respondent has not issued any notice to the petitioner as to why the petitioner has not reported to duty. Hence, in the said circumstances the contention of the respondent that the petitioner himself has abandoned the work stands unproved. Therefore, this Court holds that the petitioner is entitled for the relief of reinstatement with back wages.

18. Now, coming to the aspects of back wages and other attendant benefits is concerned, this Court finds that in this case the respondent has not proved that the Petitioner was gainfully employed anywhere else and earning income. However, it is found that the Petitioner was sustaining his day to day life even in this situation and the same could not be done without any income. Hence, this Court on considering the circumstances, deems fit that the Petitioner is entitled for 30% back wages with continuity of service and other attendant benefits. Thus, the points are answered accordingly.

In the result this petition is allowed by holding that the industrial dispute raised by the Petitioner as against the Respondent Management over his non-employment is justified and the Respondent Management is directed to reinstate the Petitioner into service within two months from the date of this Award and further, directed to pay 30% of back wages from January, 2014 to till the date of reinstatement with continuity of service and other attendant benefits and further, directed to pay the balance medical expense of ₹ 4,586 and compensation of ₹ 50,000 towards temporary disablement. There is no order as to costs.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this the 20th day of December, 2023.

G.T. AMBIKA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-
Labour Court, Puducherry.

List of petitioner's witness :

PW1 — 26-04-2018 Thiru Narayanasamy
(Petitioner herein).

List of petitioner's exhibits :

Ex.P1 — 05-12-2014 Photocopy of the Conciliation
Failure Report.

Ex.P2 — 18-06-2015 Reference of the Labour
Department, Puducherry.

Ex.P3 — 24-06-2013 Photocopy of the Discharge
Summary issued by the PIMS
Hospital.

Ex.P4 — 04-01-2014 Payment Voucher issued by
the Respondent.

Ex.P5 — 31-03-2014 Photocopy of the ESI Temporary
Identity Certificate.

Ex.P6 — 23-07-2010 Photocopy of the Appointment
Letter issued by the
Respondent.

Ex.P7 — — Photocopy of the PIMS
Hospital Medical Records.

Ex.P8 — — Photocopy of the PIMS
Hospital Medical Records.

Ex.P9 — — Photocopy of the Identity
Card issued by the
Respondent.

Ex.P10 — 21-06-2013 Photocopy of the No drunk
letter issued by PIMS
Hospital, Puducherry.

List of respondent's witnesses :

RW1 — 10-10-2019 Mr. Ezhilarasan, Branch
Manager of the Respondent
Management.

RW2 — 19-07-2023 Mr. Dr. Prabakaran, H.O.D.,
I.G.P.G.I, Puducherry.

List of respondent's exhibits :

Ex.R1 — 10-10-2019 Photocopy of the
Authorization letter.

Ex.R2 — 14-03-2014 Photocopy of the Salary Slip
of the petitioner for the
month of May 2013.

Ex.R3 — 14-03-2014 Photocopy of the Salary Slip
of the petitioner for the
month of June 2013.

Ex.R4 — 14-03-2014 Photocopy of the Salary Slip
of the petitioner for the
month of July 2013.

Ex.R5 — 14-03-2014 Photocopy of the Salary Slip
of the petitioner for the
month of August 2013.

Ex.R6 — 14-03-2014 Photocopy of the Salary Slip
of the petitioner for the
month of November 2013.

Ex.R7 — 14-03-2014 Photocopy of the Salary Slip
of the petitioner for the
month of December 2013.

Ex.R8 — 27-04-2011 Photocopy of the ESIC
Employees details of the
petitioner.

List of court's exhibits :

Ex.C1 — 11-08-2022 Disability Certificate issued
by the Medical Board,
I.G.G.G.H. and P.G.I.,
Puducherry.

G.T. AMBIKA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-
Labour Court, Puducherry.

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 38/Lab./AIL/S/2024,
Puducherry, dated 21st March 2024)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute has arisen between the management of M/s. Indian Red Cross Society, Health Department, Puducherry and the Mission Director, Puducherry State Health Mission, Puducherry, against the Puducherry, Karaikal and Yanam Health Departments, 108 Ambulance Service Drivers Association, Puducherry, over Regularization in respect of the matter mentioned in the Annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government, it is necessary to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated *vide* G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L, dated 23-05-1991 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), it is hereby directed by the

Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said dispute be referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section 2-A of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

ANNEXURE

(i) Whether the industrial dispute raised by the petitioners Association namely, the Puducherry, Karaikal and Yanam Health Departments, 108 Ambulance Service Driver Association, Puducherry,

against the management namely, Indian Red Cross Society, Health Department, Puducherry and the Mission Director, Puducherry State Health Mission, Puducherry, over Regularization of Petitioners as Drivers as detailed below:-

1. R. Prosper Roland, s/o. Roland Felix
2. S. Kannan, s/o. Seetharaman
3. R.Sivaprakasam, s/o. Renganathan
4. R.Sivakumar, s/o. Ramakrishnan
5. Muthukumaran, s/o. Chinnaiyan

are justified or not? If justified, what reliefs the Petitioners, as mentioned above, are entitled to?

(ii) To what other relief the Petitioners, as mentioned above, are entitled to?

(By order)

S. SANDIRAKUMARAN,
Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
DIRECTORATE OF SCHOOL EDUCATION

No. 439-442/DSE/HSW/EC/SSLC/2024.

Puducherry, dated 1st April 2024.

NOTIFICATION

It is hereby informed that the following candidates have lost their original S.S.L.C Examination Mark Certificates beyond the scope of recovery, the necessary steps have been taken to issue duplicate certificates. If, anyone finds the original Mark Certificate(s), it/they may be sent to the Secretary, State Board of School Examinations (Sec), College Road, Chennai – 600 006, for cancellation as it is/they are no longer valid.

Sl. No.	Name of the applicant	Register No., session and year	Sl. No. of the Mark Certificate	School in which studied last
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Thiru/Tmt./Selvi :				
1	Mohanraj N	2951024 March 2021	20918462	Thillaiyadi Valliammai Government High School, Kathirkamam, Puducherry.
2	Sanjeevdharan B	5009157 March 2015	5305422	Kavingar Barathidasan Government High School, Kuruvintham, Puducherry.
3	Soniya M	549501 March 2008	5813946	Immaculate Heart of Mary Girls' Higher Secondary School, Mission Street, Puducherry.
4	Divya M	3012186 March 2014	3877202	St. Joseph Government Aided High School, Muthialpet, Puducherry.

Dr. V.G. SIVAGAMI,
Joint Director of School Education.

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
(Magistrate Courts)

No. 433/JUD/CJM/PDY/2024.

Puducherry, dated 02nd April 2024.

NOTIFICATION

It is hereby informed that the case records mentioned in the Annexure will be destroyed after one month from the date of publication of this Notification. Hence, parties to those cases, who are willing to get any of the documents or certified copies thereof, may approach the Court within one month from the date of this publication.

K. MOHAN,
Chief Judicial Magistrate.

ANNEXURE

IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE AT PUDUCHERRY

List of Cases for Destruction

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.
Year-1989			
1	STC 37/1989	10	STC 83/2006
Year-2003			
1	STC 15/2003	11	STC 84/2006
2	STC 257/2003	12	STC 85/2006
Year-2004			
1	STC 267/2004	13	STC 86/2006
Year-2005			
1	STC 53/2005	14	STC 87/2006
2	STC 138/2005	15	STC 88/2006
3	STC 206/2005	16	STC 90/2006
4	STC 241/2005	Year-2007	
5	STC 265/2005	1	STC 43/2007
6	STC 295/2005	2	STC 82/2007
Year-2006			
1	STC 70/2006	3	STC 90/2007
2	STC 72/2006	4	STC 178/2007
3	STC 75/2006	Year-2008	
4	STC 76/2006	1	STC 55/2008
5	STC 77/2006	2	STC 66/2008
6	STC 79/2006	3	STC 296/2008
7	STC 80/2006	4	STC 117/2008
8	STC 81/2006	5	STC 123/2008
9	STC 82/2006	6	STC 179/2008
		7	STC 195/2008
Year-2009			
		1	STC 28/2009
		2	STC 92/2009
		3	STC 116/2009
		4	STC 138/2009

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.
5	STC 148/2009	39	STC 41/2014
6	STC 156/2009	40	STC 42/2014
7	STC 196/2009	41	STC 43/2014
8	STC 175/2009	42	STC 44/2014
9	STC 176/2009	43	STC 45/2014
10	STC 182/2009	44	STC 46/2014
11	STC 195/2009	45	STC 47/2014
12	STC 153/2009	46	STC 48/2014
Year-2011			
1	STC 134/2011	47	STC 49/2014
2	STC 289/2011	48	STC 50/2014
Year-2014			
		49	STC 51/2014
		50	STC 52/2014
1	STC 1/2014	51	STC 53/2014
2	STC 2/2014	52	STC 54/2014
3	STC 3/2014	53	STC 55/2014
4	STC 4/2014	54	STC 56/2014
5	STC 5/2014	55	STC 57/2014
6	STC 6/2014	56	STC 58/2014
7	STC 7/2014	57	STC 59/2014
8	STC 8/2014	58	STC 60/2014
9	STC 9/2014	59	STC 61/2014
10	STC 10/2014	60	STC 62/2014
11	STC 11/2014	61	STC 64/2014
12	STC 12/2014	62	STC 65/2014
13	STC 14/2014	63	STC 66/2014
14	STC 15/2014	64	STC 67/2014
15	STC 17/2014	65	STC 68/2014
16	STC 18/2014	66	STC 69/2014
17	STC 19/2014	67	STC 70/2014
18	STC 20/2014	68	STC 71/2014
19	STC 21/2014	69	STC 73/2014
20	STC 22/2014	70	STC 74/2014
21	STC 23/2014	71	STC 75/2014
22	STC 24/2014	72	STC 76/2014
23	STC 25/2014	73	STC 77/2014
24	STC 26/2014	74	STC 78/2014
25	STC 27/2014	75	STC 79/2014
26	STC 28/2014	76	STC 80/2014
27	STC 29/2014	77	STC 81/2014
28	STC 30/2014	78	STC 83/2014
29	STC 31/2014	79	STC 84/2014
30	STC 32/2014	80	STC 87/2014
31	STC 33/2014	81	STC 88/2014
32	STC 34/2014	82	STC 89/2014
33	STC 35/2014	83	STC 90/2014
34	STC 36/2014	84	STC 91/2014
35	STC 37/2014	85	STC 92/2014
36	STC 38/2014	86	STC 93/2014
37	STC 39/2014	87	STC 94/2014
38	STC 40/2014	88	STC 95/2014

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.
89	STC 96/2014	Year-2015		51	STC 55/2015	102	STC 109/2015
90	STC 97/2014	1	STC 1/2015	52	STC 57/2015	103	STC 110/2015
91	STC 98/2014	2	STC 2/2015	53	STC 58/2015	104	STC 111/2015
92	STC 99/2014	3	STC 3/2015	54	STC 59/2015	105	STC 112/2015
93	STC 100/2014	4	STC 4/2015	55	STC 60/2015	106	STC 113/2015
94	STC 101/2014	5	STC 5/2015	56	STC 61/2015	107	STC 147/2015
95	STC 102/2014	6	STC 6/2015	57	STC 62/2015	108	STC 114/2015
96	STC 103/2014	7	STC 7/2015	58	STC 63/2015	109	STC 115/2015
97	STC 104/2014	8	STC 8/2015	59	STC 64/2015	110	STC 118/2015
98	STC 105/2014	9	STC 11/2015	60	STC 65/2015	111	STC 119/2015
99	STC 106/2014	10	STC 12/2015	61	STC 66/2015	112	STC 120/2015
100	STC 107/2014	11	STC 13/2015	62	STC 67/2015	113	STC 121/2015
101	STC 108/2014	12	STC 14/2015	63	STC 69/2015	114	STC 122/2015
102	STC 109/2014	13	STC 15/2015	64	STC 70/2015	115	STC 123/2015
103	STC 110/2014	14	STC 16/2015	65	STC 71/2015	116	STC 124/2015
104	STC 111/2014	15	STC 17/2015	66	STC 72/2015	117	STC 126/2015
105	STC 112/2014	16	STC 18/2015	67	STC 73/2015	118	STC 127/2015
106	STC 113/2014	17	STC 19/2015	68	STC 74/2015	119	STC 128/2015
107	STC 114/2014	18	STC 20/2015	69	STC 75/2015	120	STC 129/2015
108	STC 115/2014	19	STC 21/2015	70	STC 76/2015	121	STC 130/2015
109	STC 116/2014	20	STC 22/2015	71	STC 77/2015	122	STC 131/2015
110	STC 117/2014	21	STC 23/2015	72	STC 78/2015	123	STC 132/2015
111	STC 118/2014	22	STC 24/2015	73	STC 79/2015	124	STC 134/2015
112	STC 119/2014	23	STC 25/2015	74	STC 80/2015	125	STG 135/2015
113	STC 120/2014	24	STC 26/2015	75	STC 81/2015	126	STC 136/2015
114	STC 121/2014	25	STC 27/2015	76	STC 82/2015	127	STC 137/2015
115	STC 122/2014	26	STC 28/2015	77	STC 83/2015	128	STC 139/2015
116	STC 123/2014	27	STC 29/2015	78	STC 84/2015	129	STC 140/2015
117	STC 124/2014	28	STC 30/2015	79	STC 85/2015	130	STC 141/2015
118	STC 125/2014	29	STC 31/2015	80	STC 86/2015	131	STC 142/2015
119	STC 126/2014	30	STC 32/2015	81	STC 87/2015	132	STC 143/2015
120	STC 127/2014	31	STC 33/2015	82	STC 88/2015	133	STC 144/2015
121	STC 128/2014	32	STC 35/2015	83	STC 89/2015	134	STC 145/2015
122	STC 129/2014	33	STC 36/2015	84	STC 90/2015	135	STC 116/2015
123	STC 130/2014	34	STC 37/2015	85	STC 91/2015	136	STC 146/2015
124	STC 131/2014	35	STC 38/2015	86	STC 92/2015	137	STC 148/2015
125	STC 132/2014	36	STC 39/2015	87	STC 93/2015	138	STC 133/2015
126	STC 133/2014	37	STC 40/2015	88	STC 94/2015	139	STC 149/2015
127	STC 134/2014	38	STC 41/2015	89	STC 95/2015	140	STC 150/2015
128	STC 135/2014	39	STC 42/2015	90	STC 96/2015	141	STC 151/2015
129	STC 136/2014	40	STC 43/2015	91	STC 97/2015	142	STC 152/2015
130	STC 137/2014	41	STC 44/2015	92	STC 98/2015	143	STC 153/2015
131	STC 138/2014	42	STC 45/2015	93	STC 99/2015	144	STC 154/2015
132	STC 139/2014	43	STC 46/2015	94	STC 100/2015	145	STC 155/2015
133	STC 140/2014	44	STC 47/2015	95	STC 102/2015	146	STC 157/2015
134	STC 141/2014	45	STC 48/2015	96	STC 103/2015	147	STC 158/2015
135	STC 142/2014	46	STC 49/2015	97	STC 104/2015	148	STC 159/2015
136	STC 143/2014	47	STC 50/2015	98	STC 105/2015	149	STC 160/2015
137	STC 144/2014	48	STC 52/2015	99	STC 106/2015	150	STC 161/2015
138	STC 145/2014	49	STC 53/2015	100	STC 107/2015	151	STC 162/2015
139	STC 146/2014	50	STC 54/2015	101	STC 108/2015	152	STC 163/2015

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.
Year-2016		52	STC 56/2016	13	STC 15/2017	65	STC 70/2017
1	STC 1/2016	53	STC 57/2016	14	STC 16/2017	66	STC 71/2017
2	STC 2/2016	54	STC 58/2016	15	STC 17/2017	67	STC 72/2017
3	STC 3/2016	55	STC 59/2016	16	STC 18/2017	68	STC 73/2017
4	STC 4/2016	56	STC 60/2016	17	STC 21/2017	69	STC 74/2017
5	STC 5/2016	57	STC 61/2016	18	STC 22/2017	70	STC 75/2017
6	STC 6/2016	58	STC 62/2016	19	STC 23/2017	71	STC 76/2017
7	STC 7/2016	59	STC 63/2016	20	STC 24/2017	72	STC 78/2017
8	STC 8/2016	60	STC 64/2016	21	STC 25/2017	73	STC 80/2017
9	STC 9/2016	61	STC 65/2016	22	STC 26/2017	74	STC 81/2017
10	STC 11/2016	62	STC 66/2016	23	STC 27/2017	75	STC 82/2017
11	STC 12/2016	63	STC 67/2016	24	STC 28/2017	76	STC 83/2017
12	STC 13/2016	64	STC 68/2016	25	STC 29/2017	77	STC 86/2017
13	STC 14/2016	65	STC 69/2016	26	STC 30/2017	78	STC 87/2017
14	STC 15/2016	66	STC 70/2016	27	STC 32/2017	79	STC 88/2017
15	STC 18/2016	67	STC 71/2016	28	STC 33/2017	80	STC 89/2017
16	STC 19/2016	68	STC 72/2016	29	STC 34/2017	81	STC 90/2017
17	STC 20/2016	69	STC 73/2016	30	STC 35/2017	82	STC 91/2017
18	STC 21/2016	70	STC 74/2016	31	STC 36/2017	83	STC 92/2017
19	STC 22/2016	71	STC 75/2016	32	STC 37/2017	84	STC 93/2017
20	STC 23/2016	72	STC 76/2016	33	STC 38/2017	85	STC 94/2017
21	STC 24/2016	73	STC 77/2016	34	STC 39/2017	86	STC 95/2017
22	STC 25/2016	74	STC 78/2016	35	STC 40/2017	87	STC 96/2017
23	STC 26/2016	75	STC 79/2016	36	STC 41/2017	88	STC 97/2017
24	STC 27/2016	76	STC 80/2016	37	STC 42/2017	89	STC 98/2017
25	STC 29/2016	77	STC 81/2016	38	STC 43/2017	90	STC 99/2017
26	STC 30/2016	78	STC 82/2016	39	STC 44/2017	91	STC 100/2017
27	STC 31/2016	79	STC 83/2016	40	STC 45/2017	92	STC 101/2017
28	STC 32/2016	80	STC 84/2016	41	STC 46/2017	93	STC 102/2017
29	STC 33/2016	81	STC 85/2016	42	STC 47/2017	94	STC 103/2017
30	STC 34/2016	82	STC 86/2016	43	STC 48/2017	95	STC 104/2017
31	STC 35/2016	83	STC 87/2016	44	STC 49/2017	96	STC 105/2017
32	STC 36/2016	84	STC 88/2016	45	STC 50/2017	97	STC 106/2017
33	STC 37/2016	85	STC 89/2016	46	STC 51/2017	98	STC 107/2017
34	STC 38/2016	86	STC 90/2016	47	STC 52/2017	99	STC 108/2017
35	STC 39/2016	87	STC 91/2016	48	STC 53/2017	100	STC 109/2017
36	STC 40/2016	88	STC 92/2016	49	STC 54/2017	101	STC 110/2017
37	STC 41/2016	89	STC 94/2016	50	STC 55/2017	102	STC 111/2017
38	STC 42/2016			51	STC 56/2017	103	STC 112/2017
39	STC 43/2016		Year-2017	52	STC 57/2017	104	STC 113/2017
40	STC 44/2016	1	STC 1/2017	53	STC 58/2017	105	STC 114/2017
41	STC 45/2016	2	STC 2/2017	54	STC 59/2017	106	STC 115/2017
42	STC 46/2016	3	STC 3/2017	55	STC 60/2017	107	STC 116/2017
43	STC 47/2016	4	STC 6/2017	56	STC 61/2017	108	STC 117/2017
44	STC 48/2016	5	STC 7/2017	57	STC 62/2017	109	STC 118/2017
45	STC 49/2016	6	STC 8/2017	58	STC 63/2017		
46	STC 50/2016	7	STC 9/2017	59	STC 64/2017		Year-2018
47	STC 51/2016	8	STC 10/2017	60	STC 65/2017	1	STC 3/2018
48	STC 52/2016	9	STC 11/2017	61	STC 67/2017	2	STC 8/2018
49	STC 53/2016	10	STC 12/2017	62	STC 66/2017	3	STC 9/2018
50	STC 54/2016	11	STC 13/2017	63	STC 68/2017	4	STC 10/2018
51	STC 55/2016	12	STC 14/2017	64	STC 69/2017	5	STC 11/2018

Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.	Sl. No.	Case No.
6	STC 12/2018	37	STC 50/2018	67	STC 88/2018	97	STC 124/2018
7	STC 13/2018	38	STC 51/2018	68	STC 89/2018	98	STC 125/2018
8	STC 15/2018	39	STC 52/2018	69	STC 90/2018	99	STC 127/2018
9	STC 14/2018	40	STC 53/2018	70	STC 91/2018	100	STC 128/2018
10	STC 16/2018	41	STC 54/2018	71	STC 92/2018	101	STC 129/2018
11	STC 17/2018	42	STC 55/2018	72	STC 93/2018	102	STC 130/2018
12	STC 18/2018	43	STC 56/2018	73	STC 98/2018	103	STC 132/2018
13	STC 19/2018	44	STC 57/2018	74	STC 96/2018	104	STC 133/2018
14	STC 20/2018	45	STC 59/2018	75	STC 99/2018	105	STC 135/2018
15	STC 21/2018	46	STC 60/2018	76	STC 100/2018	106	STC 136/2018
16	STC 22/2018	47	STC 64/2018	77	STC 101/2018	107	STC 137/2018
17	STC 23/2018	48	STC 66/2018	78	STC 102/2018	108	STC 138/2018
18	STC 24/2018	49	STC 67/2018	79	STC 103/2018	109	STC 141/2018
19	STC 25/2018	50	STC 68/2018	80	STC 104/2018	110	STC 143/2018
20	STC 26/2018	51	STC 69/2018	81	STC 105/2018	111	STC 144/2018
21	STC 27/2018	52	STC 70/2018	82	STC 106/2018	112	STC 145/2018
22	STC 28/2018	53	STC 72/2018	83	STC 107/2018	113	STC 146/2018
23	STC 29/2018	54	STC 73/2018	84	STC 108/2018	114	STC 147/2018
24	STC 32/2018	55	STC 75/2018	85	STC 109/2018	115	STC 148/2018
25	STC 33/2018	56	STC 76/2018	86	STC 110/2018	116	STC 149/2018
26	STC 34/2018	57	STC 77/2018	87	STC 111/2018	117	STC 150/2018
27	STC 35/2018	58	STC 78/2018	88	STC 113/2018	118	STC 151/2018
28	STC 36/2018	59	STC 80/2018	89	STC 114/2018	119	STC 152/2018
29	STC 37/2018	60	STC 81/2018	90	STC 115/2018	120	STC 153/2018
30	STC 39/2018	61	STC 82/2018	91	STC 116/2018	121	STC 154/2018
31	STC 40/2018	62	STC 83/2018	92	STC 119/2018	122	STC 156/2018
32	STC 41/2018	63	STC 84/2018	93	STC 120/2018	123	STC 157/2018
33	STC 43/2018	64	STC 85/2018	94	STC 121/2018	124	STC 30/2018
34	STC 45/2018	65	STC 86/2018	95	STC 122/2018	125	STC 42/2018
35	STC 47/2018	66	STC 87/2018	96	STC 123/2018	126	STC 49/2018

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
DIRECTORATE OF SCHOOL EDUCATION

No. 935-939/DSE/HSW/EC/HSC/2024.

Puducherry, dated 03rd April 2024.

NOTIFICATION

It is hereby informed that the following candidates have lost their original Higher Secondary Course Examination Mark Certificates beyond the scope of recovery, the necessary steps have been taken to issue duplicate certificates. If, anyone finds the original Mark Certificate(s), it/they may be sent to the Secretary, State Board of School Examinations (Hr.Sec.), College Road, Chennai – 600 006, for cancellation as it is/they are no longer valid.

Sl. No.	Name of the applicant	Register No., session and year	Sl. No. of the mark certificate	School in which studied last
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	Ms. Soniya M	544353 March 2010	5137624	Immaculate Heart of Mary Girls' Higher Secondary School, Mission Street, Puducherry.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Tmt./Selvi/Thiru :				
2	Divya M	568544 March 2016	11255423	Thiruvalluvar Government Girls' Higher Secondary School, Puducherry.
3	Sharli M	5838861 May 2022	32678430	Vivekanandha Higher Secondary School, Sellaperumalpet, Puducherry.
4	Priyadharsini V	1576387 March 2018	13549635	Seventh Day Adventist Higher Secondary School, Shanmugapuram, Puducherry.
5	Bhuvaneshwari J	542458 March 2013	7985856	Immaculate Heart of Mary Girls' Higher Secondary School, Mission Street, Puducherry.

Dr. V.G. SIVAGAMI,
Joint Director of School Education.

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
CHIEF SECRETARIAT
(STATIONERY AND PRINTING)
(G.O. Ms. No. 19/S&P/2024,
Puducherry, dated 04th April 2024)

NOTIFICATION

The Notice of voluntary retirement given under rule 48 of Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1972 by Thiru V. Govindarajan, Private Secretary, Department of Stationery and Printing, Puducherry is accepted.

2. Accordingly, he is admitted into voluntary retirement with effect from the forenoon of 01/05/2024.

(By order of the Lieutenant-Governor)

T. SUDHAKAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
(Stationery and Printing).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
PLANNING AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Ms. No. 01/PRD/2024,
Puducherry, dated 5th April 2024)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation, Thiru N. Nagalingame Periane, Deputy Director (Planning), Central Office, Public Works Department, Puducherry is admitted into retirement from service with effect from the afternoon of 31-07-2023.

(By order)

R. SHEELA,
Under Secretary to Government (Planning).

AFFIDAVIT

I, Arounadevi Soureka, daughter of Sundararajan, Hindu, aged about 31 years and residing at No.8, Fourth Cross Street, V.O.C Nagar, Muthialpet, Puducherry-605 003, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and I am well known aware of the facts of my deposition.

1. That my name has been given in my Birth Certificate Registration No. C/1993/00033, issued by Pondicherry Municipality, Puducherry as 'Arounadevi @ Soureka'.

2. That my name has been given in my Aadhaar Card No. XXXX XXXX 1108, issued by Unique Identification Authority of India as 'Arounadevi Soureka (அருணாதேவி சுரேக்கா)'.

3. That my name has been recorded in the Family Ration Card bearing No. 057717, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Puducherry as 'அருணாதேவி (எ) சுரேக்கா'.

4. That in my Elector's Photo Identity Card bearing No. IVT0030932, issued by Election Commission of India, my name is mentioned as 'Arounadevi @ Soureka (அருணாதேவி @ சுரேக்கா)'.

5. That my name has been given in my PAN Card bearing No. BMSPA8308L, issued by Income-tax Department, Government of India as 'Arounadevi Soureka'.

6. That my name has been registered in my College Consolidated Grade Report *vide* Register No. 10T00756, issued by the Pondicherry University, Puducherry as 'Arouna Devi @ Soureka S'.

Hence, all the abovesaid names such as 'Arounadevi @ Soureka', 'Arounadevi Soureka (அருணாதேவி சுரேக்கா)', 'அருணாதேவி (எ) சுரேக்கா', 'Arounadevi @ Soureka' and 'Arouna Devi @ Soureka S' are referring one and the same person *i.e.*, myself only.

I furnish this affirmation towards my names identity/proof and that all the names are belonging and referring one and the same person of myself only.

I state that what are all stated in the above paragraphs is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and information.

Solemnly and sincerely affirmed, and signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 27th day of March 2024.

562722

S. AROUNADEVI.

—————
AFFIDAVIT

I, Pradeepan P. son of Anandan, aged about 52 years, residing at No. XII/405, Parambath House, Koyyottutheru, Near Scholers School, Post Naluthara, Mahe, holder of Aadhaar Card No. xxxx xxxx 1398, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows:

1. That I am swearing this affidavit for and on behalf of my son P. Alan Pradeep, who is minor, aged 13 years. I am the lawful guardian of 'P. Alan Pradeep'.

2. I swear and state that my son is the holder of Aadhaar Card No. XXXX XXXX 9028, his name is entered as 'P Alan Pradeep'.

3. I swear and state that in my son's Birth Certificate, issued by the Sub- Registrar of Births and Deaths, Kozhikode Corporation, Government of Kerala *vide* Registration No. 23837/2010, dated 19-08-2010, his name is entered as 'P. Alan Pradeep'.

4. I swear and state that my son is the holder of *Bona fied* Certificate, issued by the Headmaster, Kasthurba Gandhi Government High School, Palloor, Mahe, on 27/11/2023, my minor son's name is entered as 'P Alan Pradeep'.

5. I swear and state that in my Family Ration Card *vide* No. 6546, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Mahe, my son's name is entered as 'P. Alan Pradeep' (in Malayalam).

6. I swear and state that I intent to change my son's name as 'Alan Pradeep' Therefore, I swear this affidavit, for the knowledge of my relatives, friends, officials concerned and others that hereinafter, my son will be known only as 'Alan Pradeep' in all purposes.

All this is true.

Solemnly affirmed and signed before the Notary Public at Mahe, on this 26th day of March 2024.

562723

PRADEEPAN .P

—————
AFFIDAVIT

I, Iyyamperumal, son of Kaliyaperumal, Hindu, aged about 38 years, residing at No. 32, Main Road, Vizhidiur, Karaikal, Puducherry - 609 607, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein. I state that in my Birth Certificate *vide* Registration No. O/1985/68 and in my Electoral Identity Card *vide* No. CHD0173484, my name has been mentioned as 'Iyyamperumal (அய்யம்பெருமாள்)'.

I state that in my Aadhaar Card *vide* No. XXXX XXXX 1206, my name has been mentioned as 'Iyyamperuamal K (அய்யம்பெருமாள் க)'.

I state that in my PAN Card *vide* No. IDFPK2530H, my name has been mentioned as 'Iyyamperumal K'.

I state that in the Family Ration Card under No. 442527, my name has been mentioned as 'Iyyamperumal அய்யம் பெருமாள்'.

I state that in my College Transfer Certificate under No. 520/2004-05, my name has been mentioned as 'Iyyam Perumal K'.

I state that in my Secondary School Leaving Certificate (SSLC) Mark Sheet *vide* Certificates No. SEC. 2295904 and in my Higher Secondary Course Certificate (HSC) Mark Sheet *vide* Certificate No. HSG.0876221, my name has been mentioned as 'Iyyamperumal K'.

I submit that the names described in the above records, *i.e.*, 'Iyyamperumal (அய்யம்பெருமாள்)', 'Iyyamperuamal K (அய்யம் பெருமாள் க)', 'Iyyam Perumal K' and 'அய்யம் பெருமாள்' are referring one and same person *i.e.*, myself only.

Further, I state that now, I have changed my name as 'S.K.Arul (அருள்)' instead of 'Iyyamperumal (அய்யம்பெருமாள்)' for numerological and sentimental reasons.

Therefore, I declare that hereinafter, I shall be called, known and distinguished only by the name 'S.K.Arul' for all my official and private purposes.

I state that what are all stated above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and information and there is no suppression or misrepresentation of the facts.

Solemnly and sincerely affirmed, and signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 20th day of March 2024.

562724

K. IYYAMPERUMAL.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Victor Vimal Raj, son of Charles Durai Raj, Indian inhabitant, aged 34 years and residing at 2nd Main Road, J.V.R. Nagar, Kumarapalayam, Thethampakkam, Puducherry-605 502, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, to whomsoever it may concern as follows:

That I am the deponent herein. I state that I was born as a Christian, as such in my Secondary School Leaving Certificate under Certificate S.No. SEC. 1494291, in my Higher Secondary Course Certificate under Certificate S.No. HSG 2228200 and in my M.Phil. Computer Science College Transfer Certificate under Admission No. A15MPCS15, my name has been mentioned as 'Victor Vimal Raj .C'.

In my Community Certificate under C. No. 378/2003, my name has been mentioned as 'விக்டர் விமல்ராஜ்'

In my Marriage Register Certificate under Marriage No. 24/2016, my name has been mentioned as 'C. Victor Vimalraj'.

In my Aadhaar Card under No. XXXX XXXX 8167, my name has been mentioned as 'Victor Vimal Raj (விக்டர் விமல் ராஜ்)'.

In my Elector's Photo Identity Card under No. TVC0122986, my name has been mentioned as 'Victorvimalraj (விக்டர்விமல்ராஜ்)'.

In my Family Ration Card under No. 212781, my name has been mentioned as 'விக்டர் விமல் ராஜ்'.

Further, I state that through a ceremony conducted by Thirukailaya Parambarai, Srikantha Parambarai Vamadeva Sri Sivakkirayogigal Thirumadam/Aadhinam, Thiruvaidaimarudur Taluk, I have renounced Christian religion and embraced Hindu religion, as such in the Certificate, dated 20-03-2024, my said Christian name has been mentioned as 'C. Victor Vimal Raj (C. விக்டர்விமல்ராஜ்)' and my Hindu name has been mentioned as 'C. Vimalan (C. விமலன்)' respectively.

Hence, I declare that hereafter, I shall be known and identified only by the name 'C Vimalan (C. விமலன்)' only for all purposes.

I state that what are all stated in the above paragraphs are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, belief and information.

Solemnly and sincerely affirmed, and signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 05th day of April 2024.

562725

VICTOR VIMAL RAJ.

உறுதிமொழிப் பத்திரம்

2024-ஆம் ஆண்டு ஏப்ரல் மாதம் 08-ஆம் தேதி (08-04-2024), புதுச்சேரி - 605 007, தவளக்குப்பம், லலிதா நகர், பிளாட் எண் 37 கொண்ட இல்லத்தில் வசிக்கும் சரவணன் அவர்களின் மகன் குப்புசாமி ஆகிய நான் எழுதிக்கொடுக்கும் உறுதிமொழிப் பத்திரம் யாதெனில்:

என்னுடைய மகனின் பெயரானது, எனது குடும்ப உணவுப் பங்கீட்டு அட்டை எண் 474279-ல் 'கு தேஜா' என்றும், அவருடைய பிறப்புச் சான்றிதழ் பதிவு எண் PM/P/2011/497-ல் 'Dheja' என்றும், அவருடைய ஆதார் அடையாள அட்டை எண்: XXXX XXXX 7074-ல் 'கே தேஜா' மற்றும் அவருடைய பள்ளி Study Certificate-ல் 'K.Dheja' என்றும் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ளது. மேற்கண்ட பெயர்கள் அனைத்தும் என்னுடைய மகனின் பெயர்கள்தான் என்றும், வெவ்வேறு நபர்களின் பெயர்கள் இல்லை என்று இதன் மூலம் உறுதியளிக்கிறேன். இனிவரும் காலங்களில் எனது மகன் 'கு.தேஜன் (K.Dhejan)' என்றே அழைக்கப்படுவார்.

மேற்படி, நான் அளித்த உறுதிமொழி சம்பந்தமாக ஏதேனும் சிக்கல்கள் ஏற்பட்டால் எனது சொந்த செலவில் தீர்த்துக்கொள்வேன் என்றும், அரசு எடுக்கும் சட்டத்திட்டங்களுக்குக் கட்டுப்படுவேன் என்றும் இதன் மூலம் உறுதியளிக்கின்றேன்.

புதுச்சேரி சான்றுரைஞர் முன்னிலையில் 2024-ஆம் ஆண்டு ஏப்ரல் மாதம் 08-ஆம் தேதி கையொப்பமிடப்பட்டது.

562726

ச.குப்புசாமி.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Monique Marie Valentine Danassoundarie Flory, wife of M J Thomas, residing at No. 46, Fourth Cross Street, Annai Theresa Nagar, Moolakulam, Puducherry - 605 010, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and I am well aware of the facts of my deposition.

In my Birth Certificate *vide* Registration No. PM/R/1957/000365, dated 30-10-1957, issued by Pondicherry Municipality, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Monique Marie Valentine Danassoundarie Flory'.

In my Aadhaar Card *vide* No. XXXX XXXX 2560, issued by Unique Identification Authority of India, my name is mentioned as 'Monique Marie Valentine Danassoundarie Flory'.

Further, I declare that in my Marriage Certificate *vide* Sl. No. 6260, issued by the Oulgaret Municipality, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Monique Marie Valentine Danassoundarie Flory'.

I also declare that in our Family Ration Card *vide* No. 131462, my name is mentioned as 'மொனிக்மரி வலாத்தின் தனசுந்தரி புலோரி'.

I hereby state that my husband M J Thomas's Pension Payment Order Pensioner's Portion *vide* No. 24033, issued by the Directorate of Accounts and Treasuries, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Monique Marie Valatine'.

I hereby declare that according to my PAN Card *vide* No. AGOPF9111H, issued by the Income-tax Department, Government of India, my name is mentioned as 'Monique Marie Valentine Danassoundarie Flory'.

Hence, all the abovesaid names *viz.*, 'Monique Marie Valentine Danassoundarie Flory', 'மொனிக்மரி வலாத்தின் தனசுந்தரி புலோரி' and 'Monique Marie Valatine' are denoting one and the same person, they referring myself only.

Hearinafter, I shall be known and called by my correct name 'Monique Marie Valentine Danassoundarie Flory' only for my future needs.

Whatever stated in the above affidavit is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and nothing material is concealed herein.

Signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 08th day of April 2024.

562727

MONIQUE MARIE.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Manickam Vivekananthan, son of K. Manickam, Service No. 14568220 F, Rank Havildar, aged 60 years, residing at No. 27, Kannadasan Street, Vivek Bharathi Illam, Periyakalpet, Puducherry - 605 014, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and made this affidavit on behalf of myself.

I state that my name is entered as 'Manickam Vivekananthan' in my Pension Payment Order, issued by the Chief Office of the C.D.A. (Pensions) Allahabad, New Delhi, bearing Pension Payment Order No. S/055647/2000 (Army).

I state that my name is entered as 'Manickam Vivekananthan' in my Discharge Certificate Book, issued by Indian Army.

I state that my name is entered as 'M Vivekananthan' in my ECHS Card, issued by the ECHS Bhawan, New Delhi bearing No. CN0037763.

I state that my name is entered as 'M. Vivekananthan' in my School Transfer Certificate, issued by the Headmaster, Government Boys' Higher Secondary School, Valavanur, Tamil Nadu bearing Certificate S.No. A 078390, dated 07-06-1983.

I state that my name is entered as 'M Vivekananthan' in my Aadhaar Card, issued by Unique Identification Authority of India bearing No. XXXX XXXX 3010.

I state that my name is entered as 'Vivekananthan M' in my Joint Account Bank Passbook, State Bank of India, Main Branch, Puducherry bearing Account No. XXXXXXXX979.

I state that my name is entered as 'விவேகானந்தன்' in my Family Ration Card, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Puducherry bearing No. 024502.

I state that my name is entered as 'M Vivekananthan' in my PAN Card, issued by Income-tax Department, Government of India bearing No. ALPPV3687E.

I state that my name is entered as 'Vivekananthan M' in my Driving Licence, issued by the India Driving Licence, Puducherry bearing DL No. AP1120000010294, dated 15-11-2000.

I state that my name is entered as 'Vivekananthan' in my Elector's Photo Identity Card, issued by Election Commission of India bearing No. GKY0597328.

I state that my name is entered as 'M Vivekananthan' in my Marriage Certificate, issued by the Marriage Officer, Oulgaret, Puducherry bearing No. 179 of 1996 dated 31-12-1996.

I state that my name is entered as 'M. Vivekananthan' in my (PONCARS) Society for the Care of the aged, Pondicherry, issued by the Government of Puducherry bearing M.No. 9100.

I submit that the names described in the abovesaid records as 'Manickam Vivekananthan', 'M Vivekananthan', 'விவேகானந்தன்', 'Vivekananthan M' and 'Vivekananthan' are referring one and the same person *i.e.*, myself only.

Finally, I declare that my correct name is 'M Vivekananthan' only.

The statements in the abovesaid paragraphs are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Solemnly affirmed and signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 09th day of April 2024.

562728

MANICKAM VIVEKANANTHAN.

—————
AFFIDAVIT

I, E Malathi, wife of S Elango, aged 59 years (Date of birth 27-07-1964), and residing at No. 38, 3rd Cross, Vasantha Nagar, Thengaithittu Road, Puducherry-605 004, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and I am well aware of the facts of my deposition.

That my name is mentioned as 'Malathi Elango' in my husband's Pension Payment Order No. 601199302139, issued by Office of the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj.

That my name is mentioned as 'Malathi E' in my husband's Pension Payment Order No. 31306 of Directorate of Account and Treasuries, Puducherry, of and date of birth as 27-07-1964.

That my name is mentioned as 'B Malathi' in my PAN Card No. AGNPM8033C and date of birth is 27-07-1964.

That my name is mentioned as 'B Malathi' in my Aadhaar Card No. XXXX XXXX 7544 and date of birth is 27-07-1964.

That my name is mentioned as 'E Malathi' in my ECHS Card No. CH 0000 0325 3847 and date of birth 27-07-1964, issued by ECHS Bhawan, New Delhi.

That my name is mentioned as 'B Malathi' in my Passbook Joint Account No. XXXXX9473 of Indian Bank (Defence Pension Account of my husband).

That my name is mentioned as 'B Malathi' in my Department of School Education, Government of Tamil Nadu.

That my name is mentioned as 'Malathi' in my Elector's Photo Identity Card No. IBI0167262.

That my name is mentioned as 'மாலதி' in the Family Ration Card No. 029327, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Puducherry.

That my name is mentioned as 'இ. மாலதி' in my Sale Deed Document No. 943/94, registered with Sub-Registrar Office, Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu.

That my name is mentioned as 'E. மாலதி' in my Sale Deed Document No. 897/2013, registered with Sub-Registrar Office, Kuttalam, Tamil Nadu.

That my name is mentioned as 'E. மாலதி' in my Sale Deed Document No. 5658/2010, registered with Sub-Registrar Office, Vanur, Tamil Nadu.

Therefore, I do hereby declare that these names, *i.e.*, 'Malathi', 'Malathi Elango', 'E Malathi', 'Malathi E', 'B. Malathi', 'இ. மாலதி', 'E. மாலதி' and 'மாலதி' are referring one and same person, they denoting myself only.

I state that hereinafter, I shall be known and identified only by the name 'B Malathi' for all purposes.

That the above particulars are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 10th day of April 2024.

562731

பா. மாலதி.

—————
AFFIDAVIT

I, Swaminathan Elango, son of Swaminathan, Service No. 660691 A Sergeant, aged 64 years (date of birth 06-10-1959), and residing at No. 38, 3rd Cross, Vasantha Nagar, Thengaithittu Road, Puducherry-605 004, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm, and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and I am well aware of the facts of my deposition.

That my name is mentioned as 'S Elango' in my Discharge Book of Indian Air Force.

That my name is mentioned as 'Swaminathan Elango' in my Pension Payment Order No. 601199302139, issued by Office of the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj.

That my name is mentioned as 'S. Elango' in my Pensioner's Identity Card, issued by Directorate of Accounts And Treasuries, Government of Puducherry.

That my name is mentioned as 'S Elango' in my PAN Card No. AADPE6945K.

That my name is mentioned as 'S Elango' in my Aadhaar Card No. XXXX XXXX 2173.

That my name is mentioned as 'Elango S' in my ECHS Card No. CH 0000 0325 3771, issued by ECHS Bhawan, New Delhi.

That my name is mentioned as 'S Elango' in my Passbook Joint Account No. XXXXX9473 of Indian Bank (Defence Pension Account).

That my name is mentioned as 'Elango' in my Elector's Photo Identity Card No. IBI0167064.

That my name is mentioned as 'இளங்கோ' in my Family Ration Card No. 029327, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Puducherry.

Therefore, I hereby declare that these names, *i.e.*, 'S Elango', 'Swaminathan Elango', 'Elango S', 'Elango' and 'இளங்கோ' are referring one and same person and they denoting myself only.

Hereinafter, I state that I shall be known and identified only by the name 'S Elango' for all purposes.

That the above particulars are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed before the Notary Public at Puducherry, on this 10th day of April 2024.

562732

S. இளங்கோ.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Packiasamy Pierre, son of Anthonysamy Pierre, Indian, aged 72 years, residing at First Cross, Mass Nagar Extension, Near Government Stadium, V.O.C Salai, Karaikal Town and District Munsifi, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows:

1. In my Birth Certificate registered with the Karaikal Municipality, Karaikal with Registration No. K/1952, dated 03-01-1952, my name has been entered as 'Paquiassamy Pierre'.

2. In my PAN Card, issued by the Income-tax Department with Card No. APYPP7570H, my name has been entered as 'Packiasamy Pierre'.

3. In my Aadhaar Card, issued by Unique Identification Authority of India in Card No. XXXX XXXX 7386, my name has been entered as 'Packiasamy Pierre'.

4. In my Pension Payment Order, issued by Office of the C.D.A (Pensions), Allahabad, my name has been entered as 'A S Pierre Packiasamy Pierre'.

5. In my Identity Card of Ex- Serviceman, my name has been entered as 'A Packiasamy Pierre'.

6. In my Savings Bank Passbook, of the State Bank of India in Account No. XXX XXX 3928, my name has been entered as 'A Packiasamy Pierre'.

7. In my Elector's Photo Identity Card, issued by the Election Commission of India in Card No. TNV0201137, my name has been entered as 'Packiasamy Pierre'.

8. In my Certificate of Service with No. 1890, issued by Signal Record, Jabalpure, Madhya Pradesh, my name has been entered as 'A Packiasamy Pierre'.

9. In my Family Ration Card, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Karaikal with No. 198327, my name has been entered as 'பாக்கியசாமி பியேர்'.

10. Hence, I do hereby declare that the above-mentioned names in the abovesaid documents are referring one and same person *i.e.*, myself only.

11. To avoid confusion towards my name's, I have decided to renounce my other name's and I assumed the name 'Packiasamy Pierre'. Hence, I will be called and identified only by the name 'Packiasamy Pierre'.

12. Therefore, I do hereby renounce and relinquish the abovesaid names *viz.*, 'Paquiassamy Pierre', 'A S Pierre Packiasamy Pierre', 'A Packiasamy Pierre' and 'பாக்கியசாமி பியேர்' and do hereby declare that I shall be identified and called only by the name 'Packiasamy Pierre' for all my future correspondence.

Solemnly affirmed and signed before the Notary Public at Karaikal, on this 29th day of March 2024.

Ack No. 035

PACKIASAMY PIERRE.

No legal responsibility is accepted for the publication of advertisement regarding change of names and other private notifications in the Gazette. Persons notifying the same will remain solely responsible for the legal consequences and also for any other misrepresentations, *etc.*